

DAY TO DAY IMPOVEMENT AND INTERTRIAL VARIABILITY OF **BALANCE PARAMETERS IN ELDERLY PATIENTS SUBMITED TO AN AQUATIC MOTOR CONTROL INTERVENTION AFTER HIP REPLACEMENT**

Kotzamanidou M.C.¹, Gouglidis, V.², Giannakos, G.², Panoutsakopoulos, V.³, Stefas, E.²

¹Dept. of Physiotherapy, AKMI Metropolitan College, Thessaloniki, Greece; ²Evexia Rehabilitation Center, Nea Kalikrateia, Greece; ³Biomechanics Laboratory, Dept. of Physical Education & Sport Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece.

Total hin replacement (THR) has found to assist nations to attain	Sample:
 Total hip replacement (THR) has found to assist patients to attain almost normal control of balance in tasks accounted in activities of daily life (Majewski et al., 2005) Rehabilitation programs after hip replacement aim to improve balance and to reduce weight bearing asymmetry (Talis et al., 2008) Efforts are made to reduce the length of hospital stay and to hasten rehabilitation using new rehabilitation protocols, thus improving short-term outcome after THR (Lilikakis et al., 2008) Limited number of studies have suggested that a combined Aquatic- and Land-Based Therapy (cALBT) improves functional outcomes after hip or knee replacement (Gibson & Shields, 2015) AIM OF THE STUDY To evaluate the day-to-day improvement and the inter-trial variability of balance parameters in elderly undergone a THR surgery 	Sample: Seven (6 F, 1 M) patients (mean age 72.6 ± 10.1 yrs), 2w after THR Procedure: Participants were tested in quite stance balance tests (duration = 10s) before (C0: initial measurement) and after an Aquatic Therapy session that were implemented for 6 consecutive days (D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6) Intervention: ✓ aquatic motor control rehabilitation program ✓ six 70-minute aquatic therapy sessions ✓ 20-minute segment based on Clinical Ai Chi was included in each Aquatic Therapy session Instrumentation: Zebris FDM-T System (Zebris Medical GmbH, Germany) Sampling frequency was set to 100 Hz. Statistical analysis: The intra-day coefficient of variability (CoV) was calculated The data within each testing session were averaged
after the application of an Aquatic Therapy rehabilitation program	 Day-to-day modifications examined by repeated measures ANOVA ⇒ Statistical tests were run with SPSS 10.0.1 (SPSS, Chicago, II)
3. RESULTS	
Balance parameters average progression C0 → D6:	Balance parameters CoV progression C0 → D6:
 ⇒ medio-lateral CoP displacement: ↓ 12.9% ⇒ anterior-posterior CoP displacement: ↓ 14.3% ⇒ vertical ground reaction force fluctuation: ↓ 20.5% ⇒ larger magnitude of improvement compared to C0: D3 	 ⇒ medio-lateral CoP displacement: ⇔D1→D5; ↑D6 ⇒ anterior-posterior CoP displacement: ↓D1→D3; D4 max ⇒ vertical ground reaction force fluctuation: ↓D1→D2; D7 min ⇒ loading on the operated limb: ↓D1→D2; D5 min
⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶	⁴ p < .05 compared to C0; [†] : p < .05 compared to previous day
Bilateral weight distribution C0 → D6:	
 C0 → D4: progressively more symmetrical (from 63.2% load distribution on the healthy lower limb to 61.5%) D4 → D6: return to ≈ initial values 	
p#3; left hip operated 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 N/cm ⁴ 2	
4. DISCUSSION	5. CONCLUSION OF THE STUDY
 The Aquatic Therapy intervention improved quite stance balance particular of the stance balance bal	Arameters ,, 2002) fter the program Id be Quite stance balance parameters can be optimally improved after a series of four Aquatic Therapy sessions in elderly patients after hip replacement References
→Limitations of the study: ① the small number of participants ② lack of variations concerning the duration of the Aquatic Therapy rehabilitation program ③ no follow-up measurement	Of Gibson A.J. & Shields N. (2015). Physiother Can [DOI: 10.3138/ptc.2014-01 Dn Laroche D., et al. (2015). Osteoarthr Carti/[DOI: 0.1016/j.joca.2015.03.029] Lilikakis A.K., et al. (2008). Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 90(5), 406-411 Dheimetrik et al. (2008). Jones Device Total 27(0), 1027 1021 1020

→Further investigation is needed to establish the optimum Aquatic Therapy rehabilitation protocol in order to improve the short-term outcome after THR

- Lilikakis A.K., et al. (2005). *Ann R Coil Surg Eng*, 90(5), 406-411
 Majewski, M., et al. (2005). *J Bone Joint Surg [Br]*, 87(B), 1337-1343 1996
 Nantel, J., et al. (2008). *Clin Biomech*, 23(4), 402-407
 Talis V.L., et al. (2008). *Clin Biomech*, 23(4), 424-433
 Trudelle-Jackson, E., et al. (2002). J *Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, 32(6), 260-267